

# **EXHIBIT 2**

1 Kathleen Sullivan (SBN 242261)  
kathleensullivan@quinnmanuel.com  
2 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &  
SULLIVAN LLP  
3 51 Madison Avenue, 22<sup>nd</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10010  
4 Telephone: (212) 849-7000  
Facsimile: (212) 849-7100

Steven Cherny (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
steven.cherny@kirkland.com  
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP  
601 Lexington Avenue  
New York, New York 10022  
Telephone: (212) 446-4800  
Facsimile: (212) 446-4900

6 Sean S. Pak (SBN 219032)  
seanpak@quinnmanuel.com  
7 John M. Neukom (SBN 275887)  
johnneukom@quinnmanuel.com.  
8 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &  
SULLIVAN LLP  
9 50 California Street, 22<sup>nd</sup> Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
Telephone: (415) 875-6600  
10 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700

Adam R. Alper (SBN 196834)  
adam.alper@kirkland.com  
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP  
555 California Street  
San Francisco, California 94104  
Telephone: (415) 439-1400  
Facsimile: (415) 439-1500

11 Mark Tung (SBN 245782)  
marktung@quinnemanuel.com  
12 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &  
SULLIVAN LLP  
13 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Redwood Shores, CA 94065  
14 Telephone: (650) 801-5000  
Facsimile: (650) 801-5100

Michael W. De Vries (SBN 211001)  
michael.devries@kirkland.com  
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP  
333 South Hope Street  
Los Angeles, California 90071  
Telephone: (213) 680-8400  
Facsimile: (213) 680-8500

16 | Attorneys for Plaintiff Cisco Systems, Inc.

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

19 | CISCO SYSTEMS, INC., ) CASE NO. 5:14-cv-05344-BLF

20 Plaintiff,

CASE NO. 5:14-cv-05344-BLF

21 || v.

**PLAINTIFF CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.'S  
FOURTH SUPPLEMENTAL  
OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO  
DEFENDANT ARISTA NETWORKS,  
INC.'S FIRST SET OF  
INTERROGATORIES (2 AND 5)**

22 | ARISTA NETWORKS, INC.

## Defendant

24

25

26

27

28

CASE NO. 5:14-CV-05344

1     **INTERROGATORY NO. 5:**

2                 State in detail the derivation of each CLI Command used by Cisco, including without  
 3 limitation all CLI Commands that You contend Arista has unlawfully copied.

4

5     **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 5:**

6                 Cisco incorporates by reference its General Objections as though fully set forth herein.  
 7 Cisco further objects to this interrogatory as irrelevant and not calculated to lead to the discovery  
 8 of admissible evidence to the extent it (1) calls for evidence pertaining to specific similarities  
 9 between Cisco's copyrighted works and individual CLI commands copied by Arista, (2) seeks  
 10 information not relevant to the copyrightability of Cisco's works-in-suit, and (3) seeks information  
 11 regarding acts not at issue in this suit. Cisco further objects to the characterization in this  
 12 interrogatory that any Cisco CLI command was derived. Cisco further objects to this interrogatory  
 13 to the extent that it calls for information that is publicly available or equally available to Arista,  
 14 and therefore is of no greater burden for Arista to obtain than for Cisco to obtain. Cisco also  
 15 objects to this interrogatory as undefined, vague, ambiguous, overbroad, and unduly burdensome  
 16 in its use of the terms "derivation," "each CLI command used by Cisco," and "all CLI Commands  
 17 that You contend Arista has unlawfully copied." Cisco further objects to this interrogatory to the  
 18 extent it seeks information that is protected by the attorney-client privilege, that constitutes  
 19 attorney work-product, or that is protected by any other applicable privilege, protection, or  
 20 immunity, including without limitation in connection with the common interest doctrine.

21                 Subject to and without waiver of its general and specific objections, and to the extent this  
 22 interrogatory can be understood, Cisco responds that after conducting a reasonable search it is not  
 23 presently aware of any information responsive to this interrogatory. Cisco's discovery efforts in  
 24 this case are ongoing, and Cisco reserves the right to further supplement this response in light of  
 25 facts learned during discovery.

26

27

28

1 **SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 5:**

2 Subject to and without waiver of its general and specific objections, Cisco further responds  
 3 as follows:

4 Cisco's command line interface (CLI) allows users the option of entering commands at a  
 5 command line prompt, rather than only using configuration files. Commands for Cisco's CLI  
 6 include those used to set parameters and others that are used to monitor the state of a machine,  
 7 device, program or process. Over time, as additional functionality for Cisco's switches and  
 8 routers was developed, corresponding commands were introduced into CLI by developers at  
 9 Cisco. Because Cisco's CLI was the result of a collective effort of multiple engineers, there is no  
 10 single employee who was the origin of all of the commands within Cisco's CLI. Information  
 11 relating to the timing of introduction of individual commands, as well as additional details, can be  
 12 found in or ascertained from Cisco's manuals and internal documents. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P.  
 13 33(d), Cisco refers to CSI-CLI-00358160 - CSI-CLI-00359262. Cisco also incorporates by  
 14 reference the Bates ranges provided in Cisco's Supplemental Response to Interrogatory No. 2.

15 Cisco's discovery efforts in this case are ongoing, and Cisco reserves the right to further  
 16 supplement this response in light of facts learned during discovery, including information  
 17 regarding Arista's accused products and expert discovery.

18

19 **SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 5:**

20 Subject to and without waiver of its general and specific objections, Cisco further responds  
 21 as follows:

22 Kirk Lougheed is knowledgeable regarding the CLI command expressions and the process  
 23 by which the CLI command expressions were created.

24 Cisco's discovery efforts in this case are ongoing, and Cisco reserves the right to further  
 25 supplement this response in light of facts learned during discovery.

26

27

28

1           **THIRD SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 5:**

2           Subject to and without waiver of its general and specific objections, Cisco further responds  
 3 as follows:

4           The command expressions that Arista copied from Cisco's copyrighted works were  
 5 developed by different Cisco engineers—often working in teams—in different circumstances over  
 6 time. Some of those command expressions were created by early Cisco employees, such as Kirk  
 7 Lougheed, for incorporation into Cisco's earliest products (*e.g.*, "show hosts," and "show  
 8 interfaces"). Cisco created other command expressions in connection with the launch of new  
 9 products or new features (*e.g.*, "ip name-server," and "ipv6 address").

10          Cisco has provided detailed additional information regarding the development of each  
 11 command expression copied by Arista in Cisco's supplemental responses to Arista's Interrogatory  
 12 No. 16 and accompanying exhibits, which is incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.  
 13 The information provided in response to Arista's Interrogatory No. 16 explains the process by  
 14 which each command expression was created. For each command expression, Cisco has identified  
 15 the legal author of and developer(s) known to have worked on creating that expression. Cisco also  
 16 has identified the earliest document known to Cisco that contains each command expression, the  
 17 earliest operating system (product) that contained each command expression, and the date on  
 18 which each such operating system was first distributed. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(d), Cisco  
 19 additionally refers Arista to the documents cited in its supplemental responses to Arista's  
 20 Interrogatory No. 16, including source code and documents identified by Bates number.

21          Cisco's discovery efforts in this case are ongoing, and Cisco reserves the right to further  
 22 supplement this response in light of facts learned during discovery, including information  
 23 regarding Arista's accused products and expert discovery.

24

25           **INTERROGATORY NO. 6:**

26          Identify with specificity each copyrighted work (by copyright and registration number) that  
 27 You contend Arista has unlawfully copied.

## **PROOF OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that, at the date entered below and per the agreement of the parties, I caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing to be served by transmission via secure FTP site, the credentials for which were made available to counsel at the email addresses below:

Juanita R. Brooks  
brooks@fr.com  
Fish & Richardson P.C.  
12390 El Camino Real  
San Diego, CA 92130-2081

Brian L. Ferrall  
blf@kvn.com  
Michael S. Kwun  
mkwun@kvn.com  
David J. Silbert  
djs@kvn.com  
Robert Van Nest  
rvannest@kvn.com  
Keker & Van Nest LLP  
633 Battery Street  
San Francisco, CA 94111-1809

Kelly C. Hunsaker  
hunsaker@fr.com  
Fish & Richardson PC  
500 Arguello Street, Suite 500  
Redwood City, CA 94063

Robert Van Nest  
rvannest@kvn.com  
Keker & Van Nest LLP  
633 Battery Street  
San Francisco, CA 94111

Ruffin B. Cordell  
cordell@fr.com  
Lauren A. Degnan  
degnan@fr.com  
Michael J. McKeon  
mckeon@fr.com  
Fish & Richardson PC  
1425 K Street NW  
11<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Washington, DC 20005

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on October 14, 2015, at Berkeley, California.

/s/ Matthew D. Cannon

---

Matthew D. Cannon